MEETING MINUTES
APPROVED 9/10/2026

REGULAR MEETING: August 27, 2026

LOCATION: Meeting conducted electronically and in person with Board Members and designated staff, assembled at 6900 Atmore Drive, Richmond, Virginia.

PRESIDING: The Honorable Shannon Dion, Chair

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
Shannon Dion, Chair
Dr. Kecia Brothers Hayes, Vice Chair
Tyrone Nelson, Board Member
Valerie Boykin, Board Member
Bishop Kevin White, Board Member

BOARD STAFF PRESENT:
Tina Bowen, Chief Parole Examiner
Colleen Maxwell, Executive Director
Rayelle Jackson-Sutton, Policy Analyst
Cindy Yadav, Victim Services Coordinator
Ashley Riggle, Parole Examiner

DOC STAFF PRESENT: Christopher Randall, Video Coordinator

GUESTS PRESENT (via teleconference): Victor Fields, Reginald Holland, Isaac Taylor, Stephen Lineham, Selwyn Herring, Reginald Shell, Dennis Harold, Randy Logsdon, David Brown, Derrick Wright, Oscar Lemen, Edward White, Rodney Jones, and William Robinson.

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Dion called the meeting to order at 10:08 AM.

ESTABLISHMENT OF MAJORITY

Chair Dion determined that all members of the Board were present.

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

The agenda was approved as written.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

Upon a motion by Board Member Nelson, which was seconded by Board Member White, the minutes of the July 30, 2026 meeting were approved as written.

NEW BUSINESS - PAROLE CONSIDERATIONS

Victor Fields, 1024381

Mr. Fields was considered for discretionary parole and geriatric conditional release.

Victim input – two immediate family members of the victim shared statements opposing parole.

Mr. Fields shared a statement with the Board.

Chair Dion then called upon each member to give their vote and reason(s) for their vote.

> **Board Member White – Grant**, based on demonstrated rehabilitation, medical condition, release is compatible with public safety, stable release plan, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, successful participation in Victim Impact, Board Member concludes he has served enough time, clear acceptance of responsibility, sustained commitment to growth and lawful conduct, reduced dynamic risk scores, and improved coping and decision-making skills.
>
> **Board Member Boykin – Grant**, based on remaining infraction free for at least the last 24 months, low risk assessment score, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, Board Member concludes he has served enough time, and clear acceptance of responsibility.
>
> **Board Member Nelson – Grant**, remaining infraction free for since 2003, medical condition, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, and the prosecuting attorney supports release.
>
> **Vice Chair Brothers Hayes – Grant**, based on remaining infraction free for at least the last 24 months, low risk assessment score, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, and successful completion of Victim Impact.
>
> **Chair Dion – Grant**, based on demonstrated rehabilitation, medical condition, stable release plan, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, and clear acceptance of responsibility.

Final votes: 5 grant and 0 not grant.

Chair Dion called for a recess to allow DOC to bring the next parole candidate into the visitation room. Upon reconvening the meeting, all members certified that no public business was discussed during the recess.

Reginald Holland, 1184179

Mr. Holland was considered for discretionary parole and geriatric conditional release.

Victim input – one victim participated in the meeting and provided a statement. The two additional victims provided statements to the Parole Board, which Victim Services Coordinator Yadav read on their behalf.

Mr. Holland shared a statement with the Board.

Chair Dion then called upon each member to give their vote and reason(s) for their vote.

> **Board Member White – Grant**, based on remaining infraction free for at least the last 24 months, stable release plan, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, clear acceptance of responsibility, insight into the causes of criminal behavior, completion of treatment with demonstrated skills, reduced dynamic risk factors, emotional and behavioral stability, improved coping and decision-making, strong work-assignment performance, and participation in faith-based or community programs.
>
> **Board Member Boykin – Grant**, based on age at the time of the offense, infraction free for at least the last 24 months, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, and the Board Member concludes he has served enough time.
>
> **Board Member Nelson – Grant**, based on remaining infraction free for at least the last 24 months, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, and participation in faith-based or community programs.
>
> **Vice Chair Brothers Hayes – Not Grant**, as the Board Member believes he needs to complete additional programming such as Sex Offender Awareness Program (SOAP) and addition victim impact work.
>
> **Chair Dion – Not Grant**, due to the lack of demonstrated remorse.

Final votes: 3 grant and 2 not grant.

Chair Dion called for a recess to allow DOC to connect the Board with the next offender's facility. Upon reconvening the meeting, all members certified that no public business was discussed during the recess.

Randy Logsdon, 1177705

Mr. Logsdon was considered for considered for discretionary parole.

Victim input – Three victims and their parents support parole. One victim opposed parole. Victim Services Coordinator Yadav read a statement on that individual's behalf.

Mr. Logsdon shared a statement with the Board.

Chair Dion then called upon each member to give their vote and reason(s) for their vote.

> **Board Member White – Grant**, based on age at the time of the offense, demonstrated rehabilitation, infraction free since incarceration, no history of violence, low risk assessment score, significant community support, stable release plan, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, clear acceptance of responsibility, insight into the causes of criminal behavior, completion of treatment with demonstrated skills, conflict resolution and prosocial conduct, consistent adherence to rules, and participation in faith-based or community programs.
>
> **Board Member Boykin – Grant**, based on age at the time of the offense, demonstrated rehabilitation, excellent institutional adjustment, no history of substance abuse, low risk assessment score, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, the Board Member concludes he has served enough time, and participation in faith-based or community programs.
>
> **Board Member Nelson – Grant**, based on age at the time of the offense, demonstrated rehabilitation, excellent institutional adjustment, infraction free for at least the last 24 months, no history of violence, no previous felony convictions, low risk assessment score, significant community support, stable release plan, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, successful participation in victim-offender dialogue, the Board Member concludes he has served enough time, clear acceptance of responsibility, insight into the causes of criminal behavior, participation in faith-based or community programs, engagement in restorative practices, realistic and structured reentry plan, verified employment or vocational opportunity, and stable family relationships supporting reintegration.
>
> **Vice Chair Brothers Hayes – Grant**, based on demonstrated rehabilitation, infraction free since incarceration, minimal victim opposition, significant community support, successful participation in victim-offender dialogue, consistently positive staff reports, long-term goal setting and follow-through, realistic and structured reentry plan, documented prosocial support network.

Chair Dion – Grant, based on all the reasons previously stated by her fellow Board Members

Final votes: 5 grant and 0 not grant.

Chair Dion called for a recess to allow DOC to bring the next parole candidate into the visitation room. Upon reconvening the meeting, all members certified that no public business was discussed during the recess.

Isaac Taylor, 1015682

Mr. Taylor was considered for considered for discretionary parole.

Victim input – an immediate family member of the victim spoke in opposition to parole. Victim Services Coordinator Yadav read a statement provided by another family member, who also opposes parole.

Mr. Taylor shared a statement with the Board.

Chair Dion then called upon each member to give their vote and reason(s) for their vote.

Board Member White – Grant, based on age at the time of the offense, infraction free since 2020, no history of substance abuse, low risk assessment score, stable release plan, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, the prosecuting attorney does not oppose release, completion of treatment with demonstrated skills, conflict resolution and prosocial conduct, and educational advancement achieved.

Board Member Boykin – Grant, based on age at the time of the offense, infraction free since 2020, stable release plan, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, the prosecuting attorney does not oppose release, clear acceptance of responsibility, and positive institutional leadership roles (peer mentor).

Board Member Nelson – Grant, based on demonstrated rehabilitation, excellent institutional adjustment, infraction free for at least the last 24 months, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, the prosecuting attorney supports release, clear acceptance of responsibility, and stable family relationships supporting reintegration.

Vice Chair Brothers Hayes – Grant, based on demonstrated rehabilitation and maturity, infraction free since 2020, significant community support, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, the prosecuting attorney supports release, clear acceptance of responsibility, completion of treatment with demonstrated skills, and positive institutional leadership roles.

Chair Dion – Grant, based on all the reasons previously stated by her fellow Board Members as well as consistently positive staff reports and the time serve aligns with sentencing goals.

Final votes: 5 grant and 0 not grant.

Chair Dion called for a recess to allow DOC to bring the next parole candidate into the visitation room. Upon reconvening the meeting, all members certified that no public business was discussed during the recess.

<u>Stephen Lineham, 1045844</u>

Mr. Lineham was considered for considered for discretionary parole under the newly enacted Juvenile Offender Criteria Procedures.

Victim input – the Board's Victim Services Unit confirmed that the victim's closest living relatives have passed away.

Mr. Lineham shared a statement with the Board.

Chair Dion then called upon each member to give their vote and reason(s) for their vote.

Board Member White – Grant, based on age at the time of the offense, demonstrated rehabilitation, excellent institutional adjustment, infraction free since 2016, stable release plan, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, successful participation in victim-offender dialogue, clear acceptance of responsibility, insight into the causes of criminal behavior, completion of treatment with demonstrated skills, conflict resolution and prosocial conduct, consistent adherence to rules, educational advancement achieved, and participation in faith-based or community programs.

Board Member Boykin – Grant, based on age at the time of the offense, demonstrated rehabilitation, excellent institutional adjustment, no history of substance abuse, low risk assessment score, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, the Board Member concludes he has served enough time, vocational achievements improving employability, and documented prosocial support network.

Board Member Nelson – Grant, based on age at the time of the offense, excellent institutional adjustment, infraction free for at least the last 24 months, low risk assessment score, stable release plan, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, clear acceptance of responsibility, insight into the causes of criminal behavior, sustained commitment to growth and lawful conduct, and realistic and structured reentry plan.

Vice Chair Brothers Hayes – Grant, based on age at the time of the offense and his growth in maturity, demonstrated rehabilitation, infraction free for at least the last 24 months, significant community support, clear acceptance of responsibility, insight into the causes of criminal behavior, sustained commitment to growth and lawful conduct, completion of treatment with demonstrated skills, positive institutional, leadership roles, conflict resolution and prosocial conduct, consistent adherence to rules, participation in faith-based or community programs, realistic and structured reentry plan., and stable family relationships supporting reintegration.

Chair Dion – Grant, based on all the reasons previously stated by her fellow Board Members, as well as reduced dynamic risk factors and documented prosocial support network.

Final votes: 5 grant and 0 not grant.

Chair Dion called for a recess to allow DOC to bring the next parole candidate into the visitation room. Upon reconvening the meeting, all members certified that no public business was discussed during the recess.

<u>Selwyn Herring, 1063281</u>

Mr. Herring was considered for considered geriatric conditional release.

Victim input – the Board's Victim Services Team indicates that the victims in this case have all passed away.

Mr. Herring shared a statement with the Board.

Chair Dion then called upon each member to give their vote and reason(s) for their vote.

Board Member White – Grant, demonstrated rehabilitation, excellent institutional adjustment, infraction free for the last 13 years, low risk assessment score, significant community support, stable release plan, clear acceptance of responsibility, insight into the causes of criminal behavior, strong work-assignment performance, and participation in faith-based or community programs.

Board Member Boykin – Grant, based on excellent institutional adjustment, infraction free for at least the last 24 months, low risk assessment score, significant community support, stable release plan, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, the Board Member concludes he has served enough time, clear acceptance of responsibility, and participation in faith-based or community programs.

Board Member Nelson – Grant, based on excellent institutional adjustment, no victim opposition, stable release plan, and successful participation in correctional and vocational programs.

Vice Chair Brothers Hayes – Grant, based on excellent institutional adjustment, infraction free for at least the last 24 months, low risk assessment score, stable release plan, clear acceptance of responsibility, insight into the causes of criminal behavior, completion of treatment with demonstrated skills, improved coping and decision-making, vocational achievements improving employability, and participation in faith-based or community programs.

Chair Dion – Grant, based on all the reasons previously stated by her fellow Board Members, as well as stable family relationships supporting reintegration.

Final votes: 5 grant and 0 not grant.

Chair Dion called for a recess to allow DOC to bring the next parole candidate into the visitation room. Upon reconvening the meeting, all members certified that no public business was discussed during the recess.

<u>Reginald Shell, 1052675</u>

Mr. Shell was considered for considered for discretionary parole.

Victim input – the Board's Victim Services Coordinator, Ms. Yadav read a statement provided by a family member of one of the victims.

Mr. Shell shared a statement with the Board.

Chair Dion then called upon each member to give their vote and reason(s) for their vote.

Board Member White – Grant, based on age at the time of the offense, demonstrated rehabilitation, low risk assessment score, significant community and church support, stable release plan, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, successful participation in victim impact, clear acceptance of responsibility, insight into the causes of criminal behavior, completion of treatment with demonstrated skills, reduced dynamic risk factors, conflict resolution and prosocial conduct, emotional and behavioral stability, educational advancement achieved, and participation in faith-based or community programs.

Board Member Boykin – Grant, based on age at the time of the offense, demonstrated rehabilitation, infraction free since 2015, stable release plan, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, clear acceptance of responsibility, insight into the causes of criminal behavior, educational advancement achieved, participation in faith-based or community programs, and prosocial engagement through service as a peer mentor.

Board Member Nelson – Grant, based on age at the time of the offense, infraction free for at least the last 24 months, no history of substance abuse, no previous felony convictions, low risk assessment score, significant community support, stable release plan, and successful participation in correctional and vocational programs.

Vice Chair Brothers Hayes – Grant, based demonstrated rehabilitation, infraction free since 2015, low risk assessment score, stable release plan, clear acceptance of responsibility, insight into the causes of criminal behavior, sustained commitment to growth and lawful conduct, conflict resolution and prosocial conduct and skills, emotional and behavioral stability, improved coping and decision-making, educational advancement achieved, vocational achievements improving employability, participation in faith-based or community programs, realistic and structured reentry plan, and stable family relationships supporting reintegration.

Chair Dion – Grant, based on all the reasons previously stated by her fellow Board Members plus a documented prosocial support network.

Final votes: 5 grant and 0 not grant.

Chair Dion called for a recess to allow DOC to bring the next parole candidate into the visitation room. Upon reconvening the meeting, all members certified that no public business was discussed during the recess.

<u>Dennis Harold, 1085336</u>

Mr. Harold was considered for considered geriatric conditional release.

Victim input – the Board's Victim Services Team reported that the victim in this case in unable to form position regarding parole. However, they would be fearful if they encountered Mr. Harold in the community.

Mr. Harold shared a statement with the Board.

Chair Dion then called upon each member to give their vote and reason(s) for their vote.

Board Member White – Grant, based on demonstrated rehabilitation, excellent institutional adjustment, infraction free for the last 24 years, medical condition, release is compatible with public safety, low risk assessment score, successful completion of victim impact, clear acceptance of responsibility, emotional and behavioral stability, and participation in faith-based or community programs.

Board Member Boykin – Grant, based on excellent institutional adjustment, infraction free for at least the last 24 months, low risk assessment score, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, successful completion of victim impact and SOAP, the Board Member concludes he has served enough time, and clear acceptance of responsibility.

Board Member Nelson – Grant, based on infraction free since incarceration, medical condition, low risk assessment score, and the prosecuting attorney supports release.

Vice Chair Brothers Hayes – Grant, based on demonstrated rehabilitation, excellent institutional adjustment, infraction free since 2022, low risk assessment score, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, successful participation in victim-impact and SOAP, clear acceptance of responsibility, insight into the causes of criminal behavior, completion of treatment with demonstrated skills, strong work-assignment performance, vocational achievements improving employability, and participation in faith-based or community programs.

Chair Dion – Grant, based on all the reasons previously stated by her fellow Board Members, as well as Mr. Harold having the support of two Commonwealth's Attorneys.

Final votes: 5 grant and 0 not grant.

Chair Dion called for a recess to allow DOC to connect the Board with the next offender's facility. Upon reconvening the meeting, all members certified that no public business was discussed during the recess.

<u>David Brown, 1019378</u>

Mr. Brown was considered for considered for both discretionary parole and geriatric conditional release.

Victim input – the victim in this case has passed away.

Mr. Brown shared a statement with the Board.

Chair Dion then called upon each member to give their vote and reason(s) for their vote.

Board Member White – Grant, based on age at the time of the offense, demonstrated rehabilitation, excellent institutional adjustment, infraction free since 2012, stable release plan, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, successful participation in victim impact, clear acceptance of responsibility, insight into the causes of criminal behavior, positive institutional leadership roles, consistent adherence to rules, strong work-assignment performance, and educational advancement achieved.

Board Member Boykin – Grant, based on age at the time of the offense, infraction free since 2012, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, the Board Member concludes that he has served enough time (40+ years), and consistently positive staff reports.

Board Member Nelson – Grant, based on demonstrated rehabilitation, infraction free since 2012, no victim opposition, and successful participation in correctional and vocational programs.

Vice Chair Brothers Hayes – Grant, based on age at the time of the offense, demonstrated rehabilitation, excellent institutional adjustment, infraction free since 2012, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, successful participation in victim impact, clear acceptance of responsibility, insight into the causes of criminal behavior, vocational achievements improving employability, realistic and structured reentry plan, and stable family relationships supporting reintegration.

Chair Dion – Grant, based on all the reasons previously stated by her fellow Board Members plus his well-documented work ethic.

Final votes: 5 grant and 0 not grant.

Chair Dion called for a recess to allow DOC to connect the Board with the next offender's facility. Upon reconvening the meeting, all members certified that no public business was discussed during the recess.

<u>Oscar Lemen, 1393916</u>

Mr. Lemen was considered for discretionary parole and geriatric conditional release.

Victim input – the Victim Services Coordinator, Ms. Yadav read a statement on behalf of the victim.

Mr. Lemen shared a statement with the Board.

Chair Dion then called upon each member to give their vote and reason(s) for their vote.

Board Member White – Grant, based on excellent institutional adjustment, infraction free since incarceration, stable release plan, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, consistent adherence to rules, and strong work-assignment performance.

Board Member Boykin – Grant, based on infraction free since incarceration, the Board Member concludes he has served enough time ($3/4^{th}$ of sentence served), consistently positive staff reports, prosocial engagement through service (Mr. Lemen made thousands of masks during the pandemic that were utilized by the Department of Juvenile Justice to keep residents and staff safe).

Board Member Nelson – Not Grant, as the Board Member believes release at this time would diminish the seriousness of the crime, as well as the serious nature of the offense.

Vice Chair Brothers Hayes – Not Grant, as the Board Member believes he needs to complete additional treatment programming.

Chair Dion – Grant, based on excellent institutional adjustment, infraction free since incarceration, low risk assessment score, stable release plan, the prosecuting attorney strongly supports release, consistently positive staff reports, and strong work-assignment performance.

Final votes: 3 grant and 2 not grant.

<u>Derrick Wright, 1170901</u>

Mr. Wright was considered for considered for discretionary parole.

Victim input – due to the nature of the offenses, there were no victims involved in this case.

Mr. Wright shared a statement with the Board.

Chair Dion then called upon each member to give their vote and reason(s) for their vote.

> **Board Member White – Grant**, based on excellent institutional adjustment, infraction free for at least the last 24 months, no victim opposition, released to a detainer: must serve a federal sentence, stable release plan, reduced dynamic risk factors, and consistent adherence to rules.
>
> **Board Member Boykin – Grant**, based on excellent institutional adjustment, infraction free since 1997, released to a detainer: must serve a federal sentence, low risk assessment score, he has served 11 years on a violation, and vocational achievements improving employability.
>
> **Board Member Nelson – Grant**, based on infraction free for at least the last 24 months, no victim opposition, stable release plan, and clear acceptance of responsibility.
>
> **Vice Chair Brothers Hayes – Grant**, based on excellent institutional adjustment, infraction free for at least the last 24 months, no victim opposition, released to a detainer: must serve a federal sentence, clear acceptance of responsibility, insight into the causes of criminal behavior, strong work-assignment performance, and long-term goal setting and follow through.
>
> **Chair Dion – Grant**, based on all the reasons previously stated by her fellow Board Member, as well as significant community support and stable family relationships supporting reintegration.

Final votes: 5 grant and 0 not grant.

Chair Dion called for a recess to allow DOC to connect the Board with the next offender's facility. Upon reconvening the meeting, all members certified that no public business was discussed during the recess.

<u>Rodney Jones, 1009291</u>

Mr. Jones was considered for considered for geriatric conditional release.

Victim input – the Victim Services staff indicated that the victim in this case was too overwhelmed to provide a statement or position on parole.

Mr. Jones shared a statement with the Board.

Chair Dion then called upon each member to give their vote and reason(s) for their vote.

> **Board Member White – Grant**, based on infraction free for at least the last 24 months, low risk assessment score, significant community support, stable release plan, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, sustained commitment to growth and lawful conduct, reduced dynamic risk factors, strong work-assignment performance, educational advancement achieved, vocational achievements improving employability, participation in faith-based or community programs.
>
> **Board Member Boykin – Grant**, based on infraction free for at least the last 24 months, release is compatible with public safety, low risk assessment score, stable release plan, and successful participation in correctional and vocational programs.
>
> **Board Member Nelson – Grant**, based on infraction free for at least the last 24 months and successful participation in correctional and vocational programs.
>
> **Vice Chair Brothers Hayes – Grant**, based on infraction free for at least the last 24 months, low risk assessment score, stable release plan, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, successful participation in victim impact, clear sustained commitment to growth and lawful conduct, vocational achievements improving employability, participation in faith-based or community programs, and stable family relationships supporting reintegration.
>
> **Chair Dion – Grant**, based on no history of violence, low risk assessment score, stable release plan, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, the Board Member concludes that he has served enough time, sustained commitment to growth and lawful conduct, reduced dynamic risk factors, realistic and structured reentry plan, and stable family relationships supporting reintegration.

Final votes: 5 grant and 0 not grant.

Chair Dion called for a recess to allow DOC to bring the next offender into the visitation room. Upon reconvening the meeting, all members certified that no public business was discussed during the recess.

<u>Edward White, 1146683</u>

Mr. White was considered for considered discretionary parole and geriatric conditional release.

Victim input – the Board's Victim Services Coordinator reported that the victim in this case desires no contact from the Board. The Board respected their wishes.

Mr. White shared a statement with the Board.

Chair Dion then called upon each member to give their vote and reason(s) for their vote.

> **Board Member White – Grant**, based on demonstrated rehabilitation, infraction free for at least the last 24 months, medical condition, no victim opposition, release is compatible with public safety, stable release plan, the Board Member concludes he has served enough time, severe long-term disability limiting basic activity, and clear acceptance of responsibility.
>
> **Board Member Boykin – Grant**, based on infraction free for at least the last seven years, low risk assessment score, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, and the Board Member concludes he has served enough time.
>
> **Board Member Nelson – Grant**, based on infraction free for at least the last 24 months, no victim opposition, stable release plan, and severe long-term disability limiting basic activity.
>
> **Vice Chair Brothers Hayes – Grant**, based on demonstrated rehabilitation, infraction free for at least the last 24 months, no victim opposition, release is compatible with public safety, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, clear acceptance of responsibility, and insight into the causes of criminal behavior.
>
> **Chair Dion – Grant**, based on infraction free for the last seven years, no victim opposition, release is compatible with public safety, low risk assessment score, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, clear acceptance of responsibility, and insight into the causes of criminal behavior.

Final votes: 5 grant and 0 not grant.

Chair Dion called for a recess to allow DOC to connect the Board with the next offender's facility. Upon reconvening the meeting, all members certified that no public business was discussed during the recess.

<u>William Robinson, 1259145</u>

Mr. Robinson was considered for considered for geriatric conditional release.

Victim input – due to the nature of the offenses, no victims were involved in this case.

Mr. Robinson shared a statement with the Board.

Chair Dion then called upon each member to give their vote and reason(s) for their vote.

> **Board Member White – Grant**, based on demonstrated rehabilitation, no victim opposition, low risk assessment score, stable release plan, clear acceptance of responsibility insight into causes of criminal behavior, improved coping and decision making-skills, educational advancement achieved, vocational achievements improving employability, and participation in faith-based or community programs.

> **Board Member Boykin – Grant**, based on infraction free since incarceration, low risk assessment score, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, and participation in faith-based or community programs.

> **Board Member Nelson – Grant**, based on infraction free since incarceration, medical condition, low risk assessment score, stable release plan, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs and severe long-term disability limiting basic activity.

> **Vice Chair Brothers Hayes – Grant**, based on demonstrated rehabilitation, infraction free for at least since incarceration, no history of violence, low risk assessment score, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, completion of treatment with demonstrated skills, vocational achievements improving employability, long-term goal setting and follow through, participation in faith-based or community programs, and realistic and structured reentry plan.

> **Chair Dion – Grant**, based on excellent institutional adjustment, infraction free since incarceration, no history of substance abuse, low risk assessment score, successful participation in correctional and vocational programs, clear acceptance of responsibility, consistently positive staff reports, and realistic and structured reentry plan.

Final votes: 5 grant and 0 not grant.

ADJOURNMENT

Upon a motion made by Bishop Member White, with a second by Mr. Nelson, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 3:34 PM.